

Community members install fencing

**Summary** This project focuses on identifying solutions to unsustainable management of the high altitude grassland environment in Northern Peru known as the "puna".

For more information see:

https://www.ccrp.org/grants/punas-andpastures-iii/

> Los Andes Community of Practice



Project Partners The Mountain Institute CC Cordillera Blanca CC Canray Chico CC Canray Grande CC Los Andes

International Non-Governmental Organization
Earmer Organization

COLLABORATIVE CROP RESEARCH PROGRAM

MCKNIGHT FOUNDATION



Healthy, native, fenced pasture mixed with tests of improved pasture.

# Widespread soil degradation

In the Ancash region of northern Peru it is estimated that 93% of the natural pastures are under **communal control** and that over 75% are deteriorated from **overgrazing**. These pasture are essential for **carbon and water retention**.

# Contextualize and refine landscape management options

2015:

Treatment, fenced plots for 4 years:

•Recuperation of the dominant **native grasses** that are most favored by animals and reduction in overall diversity.

•The wet pasture has three times the production of **biomass** than the dry one (3500 kgMS/ha vs 1100), however this was not evidenced until year 4.

•Soil cover in wet puna was 90%, 78% in the dry puna.

Control plots, available for grazing:

•There were not many differences in plant biomass between wet and dry sites.

•The soil cover in the wet puna was 80%, 48% in dry

Results indicate that the dry puna is more vulnerable to soil degradation and that **fencing** activities should be prioritized in this region and encouraged in all ecosystems.

#### Approaches that recognize heterogeneity

2010: 20 experimental parcels were established in two sites (one with 22% soil humidity the "wet puna" and one with 12% the "dry puna") in a collaboration between researchers and **farmer research groups.** 

# ₩

### AEI Knowledge Mobilization

2012-2013

•50 farmer-to-farmer exchange events

•Open house between 4 communities and **authorities** (n=150)

## Social and Institutional Mobilization

2014: Formation of pasture committees (80 families)

### Farmers adapt options to their contexts

2018: The two practices that were most often repeated were fencing of pastures and fallowing of paddocks. These were the only two options used in communal lands. In kin-group managed lands, sheep manure was also used. In private lands up to 6 options were used showing that a higher investment is given to private lands. Other **factors that influenced use** include organizational capacity, training and technical assistance, availability of labor (often low in women headed households) and water, income, market, and climate change.



Punas and Pastures 2009-2019