

Malian farmers evaluating options for agro-ecological intensification according to various criteria

**Summary:**This project intends to produce tailored AEI solutions, knowledge, tools, approaches and communication products. To achieve its objectives and outcomes, the project will use a farming systems analysis (FSA) approach, gradually scaling up to a food systems approach through local value chains and attention for nutrition-related activities. The research is embedded in stakeholder-researcher exchange networks, for which communication tools and approaches will be refined.

#### For more information see:

www.ccrp.org/grants/pathways-to-aei-ii/

#### West Africa Community of Practice

**Participatory analysis** with 37 farmers to

develop opportunities for each farm type

around legume-grain

combos. 85 on-farm

through the Dryland

**Research Program** 

(CRP DS) of the

CGIAR (n=108)

trial +trials carried out

in neighboring villages

Systems Collaborative



Project Partners Wageningen University ICRISAT AMEDD IER

University outside of Region Non-Governmer International Ag Research Center National Ag Res

COLLABORATIVE CROP RESEARCH PROGRAM

MCKNIGHT FOUNDATION

## Research to Impacts Map: Soil Health

Pathways to AEI project, 2012-2018

# Traditional soil management systems based on shifting agriculture no longer viable due to land pressure

The **cotton zone** around Koutiala is characterized by **high population pressure**. Farmers rely on cotton and livestock for income and sorghum and millet as staple food crops. Income from cotton has been invested in cattle, leading to the **high livestock densities**, which bring wealth **but** also a vicious cycle of land degradation, leading to decreasing yields in recent years. A typology based on farm level resources was developed to classify farms using **cluster analysis** based on a 17-year monitoring period (1994-2010) of 30

## Generate farm typologies to better understand various agro-ecological and socio-economic contexts

Farm type	Input use intensity	Land use	Land Productivity
HRE-LH: High Resource Endowed with large herds	20 kg N/ha and 5 kg P/ha (financed with credit); larger herd, cart to move fertilizer	Cotton, maize, sorghum, millet, cattle	Similar to MRE
HRE: High Resource endowed	Same but with smaller herd	Same	Similar to MRE
IRE: Medium Resource endowed	Same	Same	Higher yields than LME due to residual fertilizer used on cotton and maize
LRE: Low Resource Endowed	7 kg N, 1 kg P/ ha; no livestock, no carts	Only 35% grow cotton	Low cotton production means little credit for fertilizer

### Contextualize and refine crop and landscape management options including

2012-2014 results (n=132) There was huge **variability among fields** in crop yields of unamended **control and treatment plots** partly explained by, soil type, previous crop, and weather variability



2014–2015: farmer insights and statistical analysis of trial results – better understanding of what options work for which contexts.

## Farmers adapt options to their contexts

• Maize/cowpea intercropping combined with stall feeding increased

**stall feeding** increased HRE-LH and HRE farm gross margin by 20 and 26%

• Replacement of sorghum by **soyabean (or cowpea)** increased MRE and LRE farm gross margin by 29 and 9%

### Models to enhance farmer, researcher and policy-makers decision making

**Modelling** based on representative village of 99 households where currently 58% of the farms are **food self-sufficient** and **above the poverty line** shows projected scenarios with consecutively added conditions (each scenario builds on the previous) for the year 2020 with the following % non-poor and food self-sufficient: 26% if nothing changes; 39% with **intensification** of **livestock** production/ dairy; 75% with **policy for family planning** and off-farm work; 95% with **IPM**, small-scale **mechanisation** and **mineral fertilizer** on traditional cereals.